

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X Case No.
SCANTEK MEDICAL INC.,	:
	:
Plaintiff,	: NOTICE OF REMOVAL
	:
vs.	:
	:
ANGELA CHEN SABELLA and ACCORDANT	:
HOLDINGS, LLC,	:
	:
Defendants.	:
-----	X

Defendants Accordant Holdings LLC ("Accordant") and Angela Sabella ("Sabella"), by and through their attorneys, McCue Sussmane & Zapfel, P.C., submit this Notice of Removal of this action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1441 and 1446. In support of this removal defendants state upon knowledge as to their own conduct and upon information and belief as to all other matters follows:

1. On December 21, 2007, an action was commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, titled Scantek Medical Inc. v. Angela Chen Sabella and Accordant Holdings LLC, Index Number 07/604212. True copies of the Summons, Complaint and all other process, pleadings and orders received by defendants are attached hereto as Exhibit A, as provided in 28 U.S.C. §1441(a).

2. On or about December 24, 2007, defendants received a copy of the summons and complaint by certified mail. Defendants have received no other process, pleadings or orders.

3. Defendants file this Notice of Removal within one year of the date of commencement of the action and within thirty days of receipt of the summons and complaint by defendants. Removal is timely.

4. Promptly after filing this notice of removal, defendants shall provide a copy of this notice of removal to plaintiff by and through its designated counsel and to the Clerk of the Supreme Court the State of New York, New York County as provided by 28 U.S.C. §1446(d).

#### JURISDICTION

5. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1441 and 28 U.S.C. §1332.

6. Plaintiff alleges that it is entitled to declaratory judgment declaring void a promissory note dated February 10, 2003 issued by plaintiff to defendant Accordant in the principal amount of \$50,000, and a promissory note dated August 20, 2002 issued by plaintiff to defendant Sabella in the amount of \$253,250.80. Plaintiff admits that no principal or interest was paid by plaintiff to defendants. As of the date of the summons and complaint the outstanding balance of principal and interest due on each promissory note exceeded \$75,000.

7. Plaintiff is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in the State of New Jersey.

8. Sabella is a citizen of the State of California.

9. Accordant is a Delaware limited liability company of which Sabella is the sole member.

10. There is diversity of citizenship.

VENUE

11. Removal to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1441(a), because the complaint was filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, which lies in this district.

WHEREFORE, defendants give notice that the above described action against defendants in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, is removed to this Court.

Dated: New York, New York  
January 17, 2008

McCue Sussmane & Zapfel, P.C.

By:           /Ken Sussmane  
Kenneth Sussmane (KS 9301)  
Attorneys for Defendants  
521 Fifth Avenue, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10175  
212-931-5500  
[ksussmane@mszpc.com](mailto:ksussmane@mszpc.com)

**EXHIBIT A**

MINTZ & FRAADE, P. C.  
COUNSELORS AT LAW  
488 MADISON AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022

TELEPHONE  
(212) 486-2500

TELECOPIER  
(212) 486-0701

OF COUNSEL  
JAY D. FISCHER  
EDWARD C. KRAMER  
KEVIN J. MCGRAW  
ARTHUR L. PORTER, JR.  
JON M. PROBSTEN  
SEYMOUR REITHNECHT  
I. FREDERICK SHOTKIN

December 21, 2007

Certified Mail – Return Receipt Requested

Ms. Angela Chen Sabella  
853 East Valley Boulevard, Suite 200  
San Gabriel, CA 91776

Accordant Holdings, LLC  
853 East Valley Boulevard, Suite 200  
San Gabriel, CA 91776  
Attn: Ms. Angela Chen Sabella

Re: Scantek Medical, Inc. v. Angela  
Chen Sabella and Accordant  
Holdings, LLC, Index No.:  
07/604212 (the "Lawsuit")

Dear Ms. Sabella and Accordant Holdings, LLC:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the Summons and Complaint with respect to providing service of the Lawsuit upon each of you pursuant to the applicable documents.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

Mintz & Fraade, P.C.

By:   
Alan P. Fraade

cc: Kenneth Sussmane, Esq. (via facsimile)

APF/crs

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
SCANTEK MEDICAL, INC.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ANGELA CHEN SABELLA and  
ACCORDANT HOLDINGS, LLC,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No.: 07/604212

**SUMMONS**

The basis of the venue is:  
Plaintiff designates New  
York County as the venue  
pursuant to CPLR 503.

Plaintiff's business address:  
1705 Route 46 West, Unit 5  
Ledgewood, NJ 07852

To the above named Defendants:

**You are hereby summoned** to appear in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York at the said Courthouse at 60 Centre Street in the County of New York, City and State of New York, within the time provided by law as noted below and to serve your answer to the complaint upon the attorney for Plaintiff: upon your failure to answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief requested herein.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 21, 2007

Mintz & Fraade, P.C.

By 

Alan P. Fraade

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
488 Madison Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 486-2500

TO: Ms. Angela Chen Sabella  
853 East Valley Boulevard, Suite 200  
San Gabriel, CA 91776

Accordant Holdings, LLC  
853 East Valley Boulevard, Suite 200  
San Gabriel, CA 91776  
Attn: Ms. Angela Chen Sabella

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE**

DEC 21 2007

**NOT COMPARED  
WITH COPY FILE**

NOTE: The law provides that: (a) If this summons is served by its delivery to you personally within the State of New York, you must appear and answer within TWENTY days after such service; or (b) If this summons is served by delivery to any person other than you personally, or is served outside the State of New York, or by publication, or by any means other than personal delivery to you within the State of New York, you are allowed THIRTY days after service of this summons is complete as provided by law within which to appear and answer.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
SCANTEK MEDICAL, INC.,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

ANGELA CHEN SABELLA and  
ACCORDANT HOLDINGS, LLC,

Defendant.  
-----X

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DEC 21 2007

NOT COMPARED  
WITH COPY FILE

Plaintiff, Scantek Medical, Inc, ("Plaintiff") complaining of Defendants, Angela Chen Sabella ("Defendant Sabella") and Accordant Holdings, LLC ("Defendant Accordant") jointly, the "Defendants"), alleges, upon information and belief as follows:

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

1. Plaintiff is a Delaware corporation, with an address at 1705 Route 46 West, Unit 5, Ledgewood, New Jersey 07852.
2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sabella has an office address at 853 East Valley Boulevard, Suite 200, San Gabriel, California 91776, and is a member of Accordant.
3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Accordant is a Delaware limited liability company, with an address at 853 East Valley Boulevard, Suite 200, San Gabriel, California 91776.
4. The promissory notes and subscription agreements evidencing the loans described herein each contain the following identical provision: "The parties hereby consent to and irrevocably submit to personal jurisdiction over each of them by the Courts of the State of New York in any action or proceeding".



5. On or about April 25, 2002, Defendant Sabella loaned \$100,000 to Plaintiff, pursuant, in part, to a promissory note dated April 25, 2002 (the "April 2002 Note"), which was executed by Dr. Zsigmond L. Sagi, Plaintiff's Chief Executive Officer, on behalf of Plaintiff, in favor of Defendant Sabella.

6. The April 2002 Note had a maturity date of 120 days after the date of the Note (that is, August 23, 2002), and bore interest at the rate of 10% per annum.

7. As additional consideration for the \$100,000 loan, Defendant Sabella demanded additional consideration of 400,000 shares of Plaintiff's Common Stock (the "April 2002 Shares").

8. Plaintiff and Defendant Sabella entered into a subscription agreement dated April 24, 2002 (the "April 2002 Subscription Agreement") for the April 2002 Shares.

9. The April 2002 Subscription Agreement specifically states that as consideration for the \$100,000 loan, Defendant Sabella received the April 2002 Note, and was entitled to the 400,000 April 2002 Shares.

10. On April 25, 2002, the date of the April 2002 Note, and on April 24, 2002, the date of the April 2002 Subscription Agreement, the closing market price of Plaintiff's Common Stock was \$.05 per share.

11. Accordingly, the value of the April 2002 Shares based upon the closing market price was \$20,000.

12. Pursuant to New York Penal Law § 190.40, a person is guilty of criminal usury when she "knowingly charges, takes or receives any money or other property as interest on the loan or forbearance of any money or other property, at a rate exceeding twenty-five per centum per annum or the equivalent rate for a longer or shorter period."

13. In view of the fact that that April 2002 Note (which bore interest at 10% per annum), in conjunction with the April 2002 Subscription Agreement (which required stock valued at \$20,000, or the equivalent interest rate of approximately 61% per annum), charged a total rate of interest of approximately 71% per annum, which is almost three times the legal percentage, the April 2002 Note is criminally usurious on its face.

14. On or about August 20, 2002, Defendant Sabella loaned an additional \$150,000 to Plaintiff.

15. On or about August 20, 2002, Plaintiff executed a promissory note in favor of Defendant Sabella which replaced and superseded the April 2002 Note, and incorporated both the principal balance of the April 2002 Note in the amount of \$100,000, plus the accrued interest thereon in the amount of \$3,250.80, plus the newly loaned principal in the sum of \$150,000 (the "August 2002 Note"). Thus, the principal of the August 2002 Note was \$253,250.80.

16. The August 2002 Note called for four separate payment dates: November 20, 2002, December 20, 2002, January 20, 2003 and February 20, 2003. The August 2002 Note bore interest at the rate of 10% per annum.

17. As additional consideration, Defendant Sabella demanded 2,000,000 shares of Plaintiff's Common Stock (the "August 2002 Shares"), not including the 400,000 shares of Plaintiff's Common Stock which Defendant Sabella was entitled to pursuant to the April 2002 Subscription Agreement.

18. On August 20, 2002 Plaintiff and Defendant Sabella entered into a Subscription Agreement (the "August 2002 Subscription Agreement"), pursuant to which Plaintiff agreed to issue to Defendant Sabella the August 2002 Shares. The August 2002 Subscription Agreement specifically states that as consideration for the loans to Plaintiff totaling \$250,000, Defendant

Sabella received the August 2002 Note, and was entitled to the 2,000,000 August 2002 Shares.

19. On the date of the August 2002 Note, the closing market price of Plaintiff's Common Stock was \$.05 per share.

20. Accordingly, the value of the August 2002 Shares based upon the closing market price was \$100,000 (which does not include the value of the April 2002 Shares which Plaintiff agreed to issue Defendant Sabella).

21. Pursuant to New York Penal Law § 190.40, a person is guilty of criminal usury when she "knowingly charges, takes or receives any money or other property as interest on the loan or forbearance of any money or other property, at a rate exceeding twenty-five per centum per annum or the equivalent rate for a longer or shorter period."

22. Because the August 2002 Note (which bore interest at 10% per annum), in conjunction with the August 2002 Subscription Agreement (which required stock valued at \$100,000, or the equivalent interest rate of approximately 79% per annum), charged a total rate of interest of approximately 89% per annum, which is over three times the legal percentage, the August 2002 Note is criminally usurious on its face.

23. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring the August 2002 Note and August 2002 Subscription Agreement void as criminally usurious pursuant to N.Y. PENAL LAW § 190.40.

#### AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

24. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "23" with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

25. On or about February 10, 2003, Defendant Accordant loaned \$50,000 to Plaintiff, pursuant, in part, to a promissory note dated February 10, 2003 (the "February 2003 Note")

executed by Dr. Sagi, Plaintiff's Chief Executive Officer, on behalf of Plaintiff, in favor of Defendant Accordant. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sabella is the principal of Defendant Accordant.

26. The February 2003 Note had a maturity date of June 6, 2003, and bore interest at the rate of 12% per annum.

27. In consideration for the \$50,000 loan evidenced by the February 2003 Note, Defendant Sabella required that Plaintiff issue to Defendant Accordant 300,000 shares of Plaintiff's Common Stock the "February 2003 Shares").

28. Defendant Accordant and Plaintiff entered into a subscription agreement dated February 10, 2003 (the "February 2003 Subscription Agreement"), pursuant to which Plaintiff agreed to issue Defendant Accordant the February 2003 Shares. The February 2003 Subscription Agreement specifically states that as consideration for the \$50,000 loan, Defendant Accordant received the February 2003 Note, and was entitled to the 300,000 February 2003 Shares.

29. On the date of the February 2003 Note, the closing market price of Plaintiff's Common Stock was \$.06 per share.

30. Accordingly, the value of the February 2003 Shares based upon the closing market price was \$18,000.

31. Pursuant to New York Penal Law § 190.40, a person is guilty of criminal usury when she "knowingly charges, takes or receives any money or other property as interest on the loan or forbearance of any money or other property, at a rate exceeding twenty-five per centum per annum or the equivalent rate for a longer or shorter period."

32. In view of the fact that the February 2003 Note (which bore interest at 12% per annum), in conjunction with the February 2003 Subscription Agreement (which required stock

valued at \$18,000, or the equivalent interest rate of 113% per annum), charges a total rate of interest of approximately 125% per annum, which is five times the legal percentage, the February 2003 Note is criminally usurious on its face.

33. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring the February 2003 Note and February 2003 Subscription Agreement void as criminally usurious pursuant to N.Y. PENAL LAW § 190.40.

#### AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

34. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "33" with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

35. In the alternative of the Second Cause of Action, the February 2003 Note, in conjunction with the February 2003 Subscription Agreement, charged a criminally usurious rate of interest after default.

36. Plaintiff defaulted on the February 2003 Note. To date, Plaintiff has not paid any principal or interest on the February 2003 Note.

37. The February 2003 Subscription Agreement provides that for each of the first five business days after the maturity date, if the February 2003 Note remained unpaid, Plaintiff owed Defendant Accordant 10,000 shares of Plaintiff's Common Stock.

38. Plaintiff was required to issue to Defendant Accordant 50,000 shares of its Common Stock for the five business days after the February 2003 Note's maturity date. The value of the 50,000 shares based upon the closing market price which Plaintiff owed Defendant Accordant after being in default for five days was \$3,000.

39. For each subsequent day, Plaintiff owed Defendant Accordant an additional 25,000 shares of Plaintiff's Common Stock.

40. Accordingly, at the rate of 25,000 shares per day, on an annual basis Plaintiff Accordant would receive 9,125,000 shares in Plaintiff's Common stock. Assuming a value of \$.06 per share (pursuant to the closing market value of each share of Plaintiff's Common Stock on the date of the February 2003 Note), this would equal a value of \$547,500 per year, which is equivalent to an interest rate of 2,007% per annum when added to the 12% interest due pursuant to the February 2003 Note.

41. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring the February 2003 Note and February 2003 Subscription Agreement void as criminally usurious pursuant to N.Y. PENAL LAW § 190.40.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

- (a) On its first cause of action against Defendant Sabella, a declaratory judgment declaring that the August 2002 Note and the August 2002 Subscription Agreement are void for being criminally usurious pursuant to N.Y. PENAL LAW § 190.40;
- (b) On its second cause of action against Defendant Accordant, a declaratory judgment declaring that the February 2003 Note and the February 2003 Subscription Agreement are void for being criminally usurious pursuant to N.Y. PENAL LAW § 190.40;
- (c) On its third cause of action against Defendant Accordant, a declaratory judgment declaring that the February 2003 Note and the February 2003 Subscription Agreement are void for being criminally usurious pursuant to N.Y. PENAL LAW § 190.40; and
- (d) Such other and further relief as to this Court deems just and proper, together with cost and disbursements as provided by law.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 21, 2007

Mintz & Fraade, P.C.

By:



Alan P. Fraade

Attorneys for Plaintiff

488 Madison Avenue

New York, New York 10022

(212) 486-2500